



Katie Klossner: Post your questions here and they will be addressed after the recording.

Katie Klossner: If you are just now joining - please feel free to post questions and thoughts here.

Jenna Sopfe: In grant proposals, is it preferable to name these mixed methods types, such as "We will use an explanatory sequential approach..." followed by explaining the approach, or is that unnecessary?

Jodi Holtrop: @Jenna - great question - thanks! Yes, generally it is, but you don't have to.

Jodi Holtrop: Many people just say mixed methods and then don't explain it further. I see this as a deficit. :)

Megan Morris: I agree! I have definitely have seen reviewers knock an application down if there isn't a clear plan for how the data will be mixed.

Jenna Sopfe: Thank you!

Jodi Holtrop: I definitely recommend not just labeling the MM approach but also describing how you will do the data integration (which makes it mixed). We will practice in the small group next.

Rodger Kessler: As a reviewer when ai see an application that says we will use mixed methods but does not specify the design and method of integrating data, I score the methods section as poor.

Preeti Zanwar: What are some methods to integrate the qualitative and quantitative methods; and what are some ways to analyze this integrated data; this seems a research field in itself.

DISCLAIMER: This is an unedited chat transcript of a Colorado Pragmatic Research in Health Conference (COPRH Con) held on August 11th-12th 2020 and is presented offered as a resource "as is." The content of this transcript is uncorrected and has not been proof read. Opinions and statements included in the transcript are solely those of the individual person(s), presenter(s) or participant(s) at the meeting, and are not necessarily adopted, endorsed or verified as accurate by ACCORDS or LearningTimes.