



mike baiocchi: Pilot designs in observational studies: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.09077>

software: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02775>

Ashley Jenkins: Thank you for sharing that!

Ashley Jenkins: (Yes! And it's an R package!)

Bethany Kwan: Combining RE-AIM and SMART: I imagine you could do a SMART for any one of the RE-AIM outcomes. Basically, what's the non-response that you care about?

Bethany Kwan: Is it poor reach? (i.e., participants not agreeing to participate)

Bethany Kwan: Is it poor implementation (like in the ADEPT trial dr. Kilbourne mentioned)?

Bethany Kwan: Or is it effectiveness (e.g., the health outcome isn't improving)?

kate guastaferro: I think that's a fair point, Bethany. Always back to what is the research question! :)

mike baiocchi: @PZ, I'm not sure I'm totally answering your question, but it sounds like you MIGHT be interested work on "transportability of effect" In particular, I'd reference you to Beth Tipton

<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3102/1076998614558486>

mike baiocchi: Liz Stuart has also done work on this line of work that is excellent.

russglasgow: Sorry- joined late and did not hear all of question...but - of course- agree with Bethany :-)
...only thing to add- dainty can target any one or two dimensions...important tack impact on other dimensions also though

Bethany Kwan: We hope you all join us for the poster session starting at 4:30 MT

Bethany Kwan: Watch the posters at any time

DISCLAIMER: This is an unedited chat transcript of a Colorado Pragmatic Research in Health Conference (COPRH Con) held on August 11th-12th 2020 and is presented offered as a resource "as is." The content of this transcript is uncorrected and has not been proof read. Opinions and statements included in the transcript are solely those of the individual person(s), presenter(s) or participant(s) at the meeting, and are not necessarily adopted, endorsed or verified as accurate by ACCORDS or LearningTimes.