



**U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs /eterans Health Administration** 



Physical Therapy Program SCHOOL OF MEDICINE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO **ANSCHUTZ MEDICAL CAMPUS** 



# Background

- Physical therapists use range of motion (ROM) and functional measures like the Timed Up and Go (TUG) to monitor patient recovery after total knee arthroplasty (TKA).<sup>1</sup>
- We recently devolved a clinical decision support tool which predicts ROM and TUG recovery after knee replacement; we believe this tool may augment physical therapists' ability to predict and monitor patients' post-TKA recovery.

## Purpose

To assess physical therapists' confidence and accuracy in predicting post-TKA recovery of ROM and TUG prior to implementation of our clinical decision support tool.

# Design

### **Prediction confidence - survey**

- Eight physical therapists from two ATI Physical Therapy clinics in Greenville, SC
- Physical therapists completed surveys during the preimplementation training for our clinical decision support tool

### Survey

How confident do you feel predicting the rate of recovery for knee flexion ROM and TUG for individuals with TKA?

0	1	2	3
Not at all	Somewhat	Confident	Very
confident	confident		Confident

#### **Prediction accuracy – retrospective analysis**

- Physical therapists collect data for all patients with TKA as part of a quality improvement initiative at the participating clinics
- Dataset includes patient demographics, ROM, TUG, and other clinical measures collected throughout routine TKA rehabilitation
- At the first postoperative visit, therapists predict the patient's discharge knee flexion ROM and TUG values
- 477 patient records screened for inclusion

### **Inclusion criteria**

- Observations < 21 days from discharge</li>
- Patient records with episode duration  $\geq$  30 days

### **Statistical analysis**

- Predicted vs. observed ROM and TUG at discharge
  - Correlation (Pearson's r)
  - Agreement (Bland-Altman plot)

# Evaluating the need for a new clinical decision support tool in knee arthroplasty rehabilitation

Jeremy Graber PT, DPT<sup>1</sup>, Michael Bade PT, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Charles Thigpen PhD, PT, ATC<sup>3</sup>, Kathryn Van Sciver-Richardson BS<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer Stevens-Lapsley PT, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physical Therapy Program, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO <sup>2</sup>VA Eastern Colorado Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center (GRECC), VA Eastern Colorado Health Care System, Aurora, CO <sup>3</sup>ATI Physical Therapy, Greenville, SC

# Results

Records analyzed: 25 for ROM and 22 for TUG



Physical therapists were confident in their ability to predict post-TKA outcomes



ROM limits of agreement: 0.8 <u>+</u> 16.3 degrees

Moderate ROM correlation (r = 0.65)

### Weak TUG correlation (r = 0.29)

TUG limits of agreement: 0.3 + 4.2 seconds







Rehabilitation Science PhD Program UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO ANSCHUTZ MEDICAL CAMPUS

## Discussion

- Overall, physical therapists were confident in their ability to predict ROM and TUG recovery in post-TKA rehabilitation (ROM > TUG).
- The correlations between predicted and observed discharge values suggests our clinical decision support tool may be most helpful for predicting and monitoring TUG recovery.
- The limits of agreement between predicted and observed values exceeded the minimal detectable change for both ROM<sup>4</sup> and TUG.<sup>5</sup> This lack of agreement suggests our clinical decision support tool may provide clinically-meaningful improvements in physical therapists' ability to predict and monitor post-TKA recovery.

# Limitations

- Many patient records did not meet our inclusion criteria; our results may not be generalizable to all patients with TKA at the participating clinics.
- Physical therapist predictions were anchored to discharge instead of a discrete postoperative timepoint; therapist accuracy may differ when predicting recovery at specific timepoints.

## Conclusions

Physical therapists were confident in their ability to predict post-TKA recovery outcomes. However, our results suggest that therapists' prediction accuracy could be meaningfully improved—especially for TUG recovery. Our clinical decision support tool may provide physical therapists with a relative advantage over standard practice for predicting and monitoring patient recovery after TKA. We are currently evaluating the tool's preliminary effectiveness and implementation potential at the participating ATI Physical Therapy clinics.

### References

- Jette DU, Hunter SJ, Burkett L, et al. Physical Therapist Management of Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Phys Ther*. 2020;100(9):1603-1631.
- Taylor R. Interpretation of the correlation coefficient: a basic review. J *Diagn Med Sonogr*. 1990;6(1):35-9.
- Bland JM, Altman D. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet. 1986;327(8476):307-10.
- Jakobsen TL, Christensen M, Christensen SS, Olsen M, Bandholm T. Reliability of knee joint range of motion and circumference measurements after total knee arthroplasty: does tester experience matter? *Physiother Res Int.* 2010;15(3):126-34.
- Kennedy DM, Stratford PW, Wessel J, Gollish JD, Penney D. Assessing stability and change of four performance measures: a longitudinal study evaluating outcome following total hip and knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2005;6(1):3.

### Funding sources: **AHRQ HS025692**